

Siegel Modular Forms

Lecture #2

Gerard van der Geer
Universiteit van Amsterdam
YMSC, Tsinghua University

October 15, 2020

Fixed Points

$\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbf{R})$ acts transitively on \mathcal{H}_g .
 Suppose $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ acts with a fixed point τ .
 With $\alpha \in \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbf{R})$ with $\alpha(\tau) = i_g$ we see

$$\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \in K = U_g$$

Diagonalize to get a diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_g, \zeta_1^{-1}, \dots, \zeta_g^{-1})$.

Lemma 1. *If $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ has order m in $\Gamma_g/\langle \pm 1 \rangle$ then $\exists \zeta_j \in \mathbf{C}^*$ with $\zeta_j^m = 1$ such that action on tangent space at $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_g$ is (in suitable coordinates) by*

$$t_{ij} \mapsto \zeta_i \zeta_j t_{ij}$$

Corollary 1. *The codimension of the locus of fixed points (for $\Gamma_g/\langle \pm \rangle$)*

$$S_g = \{\tau \in \mathcal{H}_g : I_\tau \neq \{\text{Id}\}\}$$

is $g - 1$ for $g \geq 2$.

Proof. The characteristic polynomial of $\gamma \in I_\tau$ has rational coefficients, so all conjugates occur. Hence at least $g - 1$ products $\zeta_i \zeta_j$ are not 1. Note that $\mathcal{H}_{g-1} \times \mathcal{H}_1$ has codim $g - 1$. Q.e.d.

For $g = 1$ we saw two fixed points. For $g = 2$ Gottschling determined the fixed points of $\text{PSp}(4, \mathbf{Z})$. We find a stratification with 14 strata: two surfaces ($\tau_{12} = 0$) and ($\tau_{11} = \tau_{22}$), their intersections, some other curves

like

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \end{pmatrix} : \tau \in \mathcal{H}_1 \right\} \quad \text{with } z = \rho \text{ or } z = i$$

and their intersection points.

Lemma 2. *The congruence subgroup*

$$\Gamma_g[n] = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma_g : \gamma \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \}$$

acts freely for $n \geq 3$.

Proof. Say γ fixes τ . The characteristic roots are

$$\zeta = 1 + n\zeta' \quad n\zeta' \text{ alg. integer}$$

May raise to power so that order is a prime p .
Then

$$\zeta^p = 1 = (1 + n\zeta')^p$$

that is

$$(n\zeta')^{p-1} + p(n\zeta')^{p-2} + \cdots + p = 0$$

hence $\text{Norm}(n\zeta')$ divides p , hence n^{p-1} divides p , hence $p = 2$, and $n = 2$, a contradiction. Q.e.d.

The index of $\Gamma_g[n]$ in Γ_g is

$$n^{g(2g+1)} \prod_{p|n} \prod_{j=1}^g (1 - p^{-2j})$$

The quotient

$$\Gamma_g \backslash \mathcal{H}_g$$

is an orbifold, a global quotient under a finite group of the manifold $\Gamma_g[n] \backslash \mathcal{H}_g$ for $n \geq 3$.

This orbifold is a **moduli space**, namely of complex principally polarized abelian varieties, that is, of complex tori V/Λ with V a \mathbb{C} -vector space of dim g together with a hermitian metric H on V such $\text{Im}(H)$ is integral and unimodular on Λ .

A non-degenerate hermitian form H on a complex vector space determines and is determined by an alternating form $E = \text{Im}(H)$ such that

$$H(z, w) = E(iz, w) + \sqrt{-1}E(z, w)$$

with E satisfying

$$E(iz, iw) = E(z, w),$$

$$E(iz, z) > 0 \quad \text{for } z \neq 0.$$

To $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_g$ we associate $\mathbf{C}^g/\Lambda_\tau$ with Λ_τ the lattice spanned by the columns of the $g \times 2g$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tau & \mathbf{1}_g \end{pmatrix}$$

Define the positive definite form

$$H(z, w) = z'y^{-1}\bar{w}.$$

For $z = \tau m_1 + n_1$ and $w = \tau m_2 + n_2$ we have

$$\operatorname{Im}(H(z, w)) = m'_1 n_2 - n'_1 m_2.$$

So $(\mathbf{C}^g/\Lambda_\tau, H)$ is a principally polarized complex abelian variety.

Conversely, if (V, Λ, H) gives a p.p.a.v. of $\dim g$ then there exists a basis $e_1, \dots, e_g, f_1, \dots, f_g$ of Λ such that H is given by

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_g \\ -1_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Express this symplectic basis in a complex basis η_1, \dots, η_g :

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_1 & \Omega_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad g \times 2g \text{ matrix}$$

such that

$$e_i = \sum_j \Omega_{ji} \eta_j, \quad f_i = \sum_j \Omega_{j, g+i} \eta_j$$

Claim 1. f_1, \dots, f_g is a complex basis.

Proof. $U = \langle f_1, \dots, f_g \rangle_{\mathbf{R}}$ the \mathbf{R} -vector space. Then $U \cap i(U)$ is a complex vector space on which H vanishes. Hence $U \cap i(U) = (0)$. Q.e.d.

So we can write $\Omega = (\tau \quad 1_g)$. Note that H is determined by the values on the f -basis:

$$H(z, w) = z' \operatorname{Im}(\tau) \bar{w}.$$

The equations

$$E(iz, iw) = E(z, w), \quad E(iz, z) > 0 \quad (z \neq 0)$$

give

$$\tau' = \tau, \quad \operatorname{Im}(\tau) > 0.$$

But we chose a symplectic basis $e_1, \dots, e_g, f_1, \dots, f_g$. We can change the basis via $\gamma = (a, b; c, d) \in \Gamma_g$. Say we have a new basis \dot{e}_i, \dot{f}_i with

$$\dot{e}_i = \sum a_{ij} e_j + \sum b_{ij} f_j$$

$$\dot{f}_i = \sum c_{ij} e_j + \sum d_{ij} f_j$$

that is, \dot{e}_i is the i th row of $a\tau + b$, \dot{f}_i is the i th row of $c\tau + d$. So the basis is given by the columns of

$$\left((a\tau + b)' \quad (c\tau + d)' \right)$$

Changing by $(c\tau + d)'^{-1}$ gives a basis coming from

$$\left((c\tau + d)'^{-1} (a\tau + b)' \quad 1_g \right)$$

which equals

$$\left((a\tau + b)(c\tau + d)^{-1} \quad 1_g \right)$$

Proposition 1. *The points of $\Gamma_g \backslash \mathcal{H}_g$ correspond 1 – 1 to isomorphism classes of complex p.p.a.v. of dim g .*

Note that for p.p.a.v. X we have

$$\#\text{Aut}(X_\tau) = \#\text{Stab}_{\Gamma_g}(\tau).$$

The paramodular groups

We mainly deal with $\Gamma_g = \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbf{Z})$ to avoid technicalities.

Other groups of interest: take instead of $J = (0, 1_g, -1_g, 0)$ the alternating matrix

$$J_D = \begin{pmatrix} 0_g & D \\ -D & 0_g \end{pmatrix}$$

with $D = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_g)$ with $d_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $d_i | d_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, g - 1$. Put

$$\Gamma_D = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}^{2g}, J_D)$$

It is called: **paramodular group** of type D .

The quotient $\Gamma_D \backslash \mathcal{H}_g$ describes the moduli of complex abelian varieties with a polarization of type D .

For a fundamental domain take a finite index normal subgroup Γ in $\Gamma_g \cap \Gamma_D$. A fundamental domain for Γ is of the form $\cup_i \gamma_i(\mathcal{F}_g)$ with γ_i representatives of Γ_g/Γ ; divide out action of Γ_D/Γ .

But we will stick mostly to Γ_g .

We let \mathbf{Z}^{2g} act on $\mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^g$ via

$$(\tau, z) \mapsto (\tau, z + \tau m + n)$$

where m, n are column vectors in \mathbf{Z}^g . We then let Γ_g act via

$$(\tau, z) \mapsto (\gamma(\tau), (c\tau + d)^{-1}z)$$

This gives an action of $\Gamma_g \ltimes \mathbf{Z}^{2g}$. The subgroup

$$\Gamma_g[n] \ltimes \mathbf{Z}^{2g}$$

acts freely on $\mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^g$ for $n \geq 3$. The quotient space

$$\mathcal{X}_g[n] = \Gamma_g[n] \ltimes \mathbf{Z}^{2g} \backslash \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^g$$

is a complex manifold and a family of complex

abelian varieties of dimension g and

$$\mathcal{X}_g = \Gamma_g \backslash \mathbf{Z}^{2g} \backslash \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^g$$

is an orbifold quotient of $\mathcal{X}_g[n]$.

The action of Γ_g on Lie , that is on \mathbf{C}^g , is by $(c\tau + d)^{\prime-1}$, hence by $(c\tau + d)$ on the space of differentials.

Therefore we define an (orbifold) vector bundle

$$\Gamma_g \backslash \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^g$$

using the action of $(c\tau + d)^{\prime-1}$ or that of $(c\tau + d)$. The latter vector bundle is called the **Hodge bundle**

\mathbf{E}_g , a rank g orbifold vector bundle

living over $\mathcal{A}_g = \Gamma_g \backslash \mathcal{H}_g$. The fibre of \mathbf{E}_g over

X_τ is $H^0(X_\tau, \Omega^1)$.

Using the orbifold map

$$\pi : \mathcal{X}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$$

we have

$$\mathbf{E}_g = \pi_*(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}_g/\mathcal{A}_g}).$$

In level $n \geq 3$ we have an actual bundle $\mathbf{E}_g[n]$ on $\mathcal{A}_g[n]$ via

$$\pi : \mathcal{X}_g[n] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g[n]$$

with

$$\mathbf{E}_g[n] = \pi_*(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}_g[n]/\mathcal{A}_g[n]})$$

Invariant Metric

For $g = 1$ we have the Poincaré metric

$$\frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2}, \quad \omega = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \frac{d\tau \wedge d\bar{\tau}}{y^2}$$

This generalizes

$$ds^2 = \text{Tr}(y^{-1} d\tau y^{-1} d\bar{\tau}) \quad \text{with } d\tau = (d\tau_{ij})$$

This is a $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbf{R})$ -invariant metric.

Lemma 3. For $\gamma \in \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbf{R})$

$$d(\gamma(\tau)) = (d'a - b'c)(c\tau + d)^{\prime -1} d\tau (c\tau + d)^{-1}$$

Corollary 1. ds^2 is $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbf{R})$ -invariant and positive.

Indeed, $\Sigma = y^{-1}d\tau y^{-1}d\bar{\tau}$ changes to

$$(c\tau + d)'^{-1}\Sigma(c\tau + d)'$$

hence the trace Tr is invariant. We can check the positivity at $\tau = i_g$:

$$\sum_k dx_{kk}^2 + 2 \sum_{k<l} dx_{kl}^2 + \sum_k dy_{kk}^2 + 2 \sum_{k<l} dy_{kl}^2$$

Lemma 4. Let S_g be the set of symmetric matrices of size g . The Jacobian of the map $S_g \rightarrow S_g$, $x \mapsto a'xa$ with $a \in \mathrm{GL}(g)$ has determinant $\det(a)^{g+1}$.

Corollary 2. For $\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbf{R})$ we have

$$\det(\partial\gamma/\partial\tau) = \det(c\tau + d)^{-(g+1)}$$

Lemma 5. *The cotangent (orbifold) bundle of \mathcal{A}_g is $\text{Sym}^2(\mathbf{E}_g)$.*

Proof. We have

$$(c\tau + d)'d\gamma(\tau)(c\tau + d) = d\tau$$

So the action is as on symmetric matrices of size g . Q.e.d.

For $n \geq 3$ we have

$$\Omega^1_{\mathcal{A}_g[n]} = \text{Sym}^2(\mathbf{E}_g[n]).$$

Differential geometry tells us that we can normalize the invariant volume form ω_g such that for torsion free $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_g$ the integral

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_g} \omega_g$$

gives the Euler number of $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_g$.

A priori only for Γ with compact quotient, but by a theorem of Harder for all Γ that are discrete and torsion-free.

Siegel calculated the volume of \mathcal{F}_g , the fundamental domain for Γ_g :

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_g \backslash \mathcal{H}_g) = \zeta(-1)\zeta(-3) \cdots \zeta(1 - 2g)$$

with $\zeta(s)$ the Riemann zeta function.

Recall that

$$\zeta(1 - 2n) = -\frac{B_{2n}}{2n}.$$

Some values:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(-1) &= -\frac{1}{12}, \\ \zeta(-3) &= \frac{1}{120}, \quad \zeta(-5) = -\frac{1}{252} \end{aligned}$$

g	$\text{vol}(\Gamma_g \backslash H_g)$
1	$-1/12$
2	$-1/1440$
3	$1/362880$
4	$1/87091200$
5	$-1/11496038400$
6	$-691/376610217984000$
7	$691/4519322615808000$
8	$2499347/36877672544993280000$
9	$-109638854849/529710888436283473920000$

But Γ_g is not without torsion, so

$$e(\Gamma_g \backslash \mathcal{H}_g) \neq \text{vol}(\Gamma_g \backslash \mathcal{H}_g)$$

e.g. for $g = 1$

$$e(\Gamma_1 \backslash \mathcal{H}_1) = 1 = 2\zeta(-1) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3}$$

We note for $g = 1, 2, 3$:

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_g[2] \backslash \mathcal{H}_g) = [-1/2, -1/2, 4]$$

and

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_g[3] \backslash \mathcal{H}_g) = [-2, -36, 25272]$$

For example

$$e(\overline{\Gamma_1[3] \backslash \mathcal{H}_1}) = e(\Gamma_1[3] \backslash \mathcal{H}_1) + 4 = 2$$

Modular Forms

A holomorphic $f : \mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$f((a\tau + b)(c\tau + d)^{-1}) = \det(c\tau + d)^k f(\tau)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ is called a (scalar-valued) **Siegel modular form** of weight k for $g \geq 2$. For $g = 1$ we have to ask for holomorphicity at ∞ : $f = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)q^n$.

More generally, consider a complex representation of finite dimension

$$\rho : \mathrm{GL}(g, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W)$$

Look at holomorphic $f : \mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow W$ such that

$$f((a\tau + b)(c\tau + d)^{-1}) = \rho(c\tau + d)f(\tau)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ ($g \geq 2$).

We get a vector space $M_k(\Gamma_g)$ and $M_\rho(\Gamma_g)$.

The functional equation implies

$$f(\tau + s) = f(\tau) \quad \forall \text{ symmetric integral } s$$

A **half-integral matrix** (of size g) is a symmetric $g \times g$ matrix n such that $2n$ is integral and even on the diagonal.

Such a n defines a linear form

$$\text{Tr}(n\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^g n_{ii}\tau_{ii} + 2 \sum_{i < j} n_{ij}\tau_{ij}$$

with *integral* coefficients. Every such linear form in the τ_{ij} with \mathbf{Z} -coefficients is of this form.

Because of $f(\tau + s) = f(\tau)$ for symmetric integral s an element $f \in M_\rho(\Gamma_g)$ admits a Fourier expansion

$$f = \sum_n a(n) e^{2\pi i \text{Tr}(n\tau)}$$

with $a(n) \in W$ and n running through the half-integral matrices. We have

$$a(n) = \int_{x \bmod 1} f(\tau) e^{-2\pi i \text{Tr}(n\tau)} dx$$

with the integral over the x -coordinates $|x_{ij}| \leq 1/2$ and dx the usual Euclidean volume. We put

$$q^n = e^{2\pi i \text{Tr}(n\tau)}$$

and write

$$f = \sum_n a(n)q^n$$

summing over half-integral n .

We may restrict to irreducible representations ρ of $GL(g, \mathbf{C})$. These correspond 1-1 to g -tuples of integers

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_g)$$

with $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Such an irreducible representation contains a 1-dim subspace on which $\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_g)$ acts by multiplication by

$$\prod_{i=1}^g a_i^{\lambda_i}$$

The λ are called the highest weight.

The standard representation corresponds to $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and the determinant to $(1, \dots, 1)$.

Lemma 6. For $f = \sum_n a(n)q^n \in M_\rho(\Gamma_g)$ we have

$$a(u'nu) = \rho(u')a(n) \quad \forall u \in \mathrm{GL}(g, \mathbf{Z})$$

Proof. Use $\gamma = (u, 0; 0, u'^{-1}) \in \Gamma_g$.

$$a(u'nu) = \int_{x \bmod 1} f(\tau) e^{-2\pi i \mathrm{Tr}(u'nu\tau)} dx$$

Using $f(u\tau u') = \rho(u')^{-1} f(\tau)$ this gives

$$\rho(u') \int f(u\tau u') e^{-2\pi i \mathrm{Tr}(u'nu\tau)} dx$$

and using $\mathrm{Tr}(u'nu\tau) = \mathrm{Tr}(nu\tau u')$ we get with $\sigma = u\tau u'$

$$\rho(u') \int_{x \bmod 1} f(\sigma) e^{-2\pi i \mathrm{Tr}(n\sigma)} dx$$

Q.e.d.

Koecher Principle

Theorem 1. Let $f = \sum_n a(n)q^n$ in $M_\rho(\Gamma_g)$. Then $a(n) = 0$ if n is not positive semi-definite.

Proof. For $g = 1$ this is part of the definition, so assume $g \geq 2$. Now f converges absolutely on \mathcal{H}_g . We look at $\tau = i_g$. Then

$$f(i_g) = \sum_n a(n)e^{-2\pi\text{Tr}(n)}$$

There exists a constant $A \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ such that $\forall n$ we have

$$|a(n)| \leq A e^{2\pi\text{Tr}(n)}$$

where $||$ refers to a norm on W .

Suppose that n is not positive semi-definite. Then $\exists \xi \in \mathbf{Z}^g$ with $\xi' n \xi < 0$. We may assume ξ is primitive. Complete ξ to a unimodular matrix u and replace n by $u' n u$. Recall $a(u' n u) = \rho(u') a(n)$. Then we may assume $n_{11} < 0$. Consider

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m & & \\ 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & \\ & & & 1_{g-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $|a(n)| = |\rho(v')^{-1}| |a(v' n v)|$.

But $|\rho(v')^{-1}|$ is polynomial in m and

$$|a(v' n v)| \leq A e^{2\pi \text{Tr}(v' n v)}$$

We have

$$\text{Tr}(v' n v) = \text{Tr}(n) + n_{11} m^2 + 2n_{12} m$$

with $n_{11} < 0$. Let $m \rightarrow \infty$. We get $|a(n)| = 0$. Q.e.d.

We thus get for $f \in M_\rho(\Gamma_g)$

$$f = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) q^n .$$

Observe

$$|a(n)| |e^{2\pi i \text{Tr}(n\tau)}| = |a(n)| e^{-2\pi \text{Tr}(ny)} .$$

Lemma 7. *Let $f \in M_\rho(\Gamma_g)$. Then each coordinate of f is bounded on any subset*

$$\{\tau \in \mathcal{H}_g : \text{Im}(\tau) > c \cdot 1_g\}$$

with $c \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$.

Indeed, majorize uniformly by the value at $c \cdot i_g$.

Proposition 2. $M_k(\Gamma_g) = (0)$ for $k < 0$.
 Moreover, $M_0(\Gamma_g) = \mathbf{C}$.

Proof. The function $h = \det(y)^{k/2} |f(\tau)|$ is Γ_g -invariant. The fundamental domain F_g is contained in

$$\{\tau \in \mathcal{H}_g : \text{Im}(\tau) > c \cdot 1_g\}$$

for some $c > 0$. If $k < 0$ then $\det(y)^{k/2}$ is bounded on F_g , and $|f(\tau)|$ is also bounded, so h is bounded on F_g , hence on all of \mathcal{H}_g . So $\exists A$

$$|f(\tau)| \leq A \det(y)^{-k/2}$$

We have

$$a(n)e^{-2\pi \text{Tr}(ny)} = \int_{x \bmod 1} f(\tau)e^{-2\pi i \text{Tr}(nx)} dx$$

and this gives a bound for $|a(n)|$:

$$\begin{aligned} |a(n)|e^{-2\pi\text{Tr}(ny)} &\leq \max_{x \bmod 1} |f(x + iy)| \\ &\leq A \det(y)^{-k/2} \end{aligned}$$

Now let $y \rightarrow 0$ and get $a(n) = 0$.

For $k = 0$ we see that $|f(\tau)|$ is bounded on $\{\tau \in \mathcal{H}_g : y > c1_g\}$ for $c > 0$. This implies that f is bounded on F_g , hence everywhere. But then f is constant by the maximum principle. Q.e.d.

More literature

C.L. Siegel: Symplectic Geometry. In: Collected Works, Vol. II.

D. Mumford: Abelian Varieties. OUP

D. Mumford: Tata Lectures on Theta I. Birkhäuser.