

# **Siegel Modular Forms**

## **Lecture #7**

Gerard van der Geer  
Universiteit van Amsterdam  
YMSC, Tsinghua University

November 3, 2020

# Harmonic Theta Series

$L$  even unimodular of rank  $n$  with quadratic form  $Q = (\ , \ )$ . Choose elements

$$e_1, \dots, e_g$$

that span a totally isotropic subspace of

$$V = L \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$$

with the property that

$$Q(e_i, \bar{e}_j) > 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq g$$

For a vector  $l = (l_1, \dots, l_g) \in L^g$  we consider  $g \times g$  matrix

$$Q(l_i, e_j)$$

**Fact:**

$$\vartheta_{L,e,k} = \sum_{l \in L^g} \det(Q(l_i, e_j))^k e^{\pi i \text{Tr}(G_l \tau)}$$

is a Siegel modular form of degree  $g$  and weight  $n/2 + k$ .

**Theorem 1.** (*Böcherer*) *Every cusp form of degree  $g \geq 1$  and weight  $n/2 + k$  with  $n > 2g$ ,  $k > 0$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  is a linear combination of theta series of the form  $\vartheta_{L,e,k}$  with  $L$  an even unimodular lattice of rank  $n$ .*

We have a variant for vector-valued modular forms.

Example. Take the lattice

$$\Lambda = \{x \in \mathbf{Z}^{16} \cup (1/2 + \mathbf{Z})^{16} : \sum x_i \in 2\mathbf{Z}\}$$

Put  $a = (2, i, i, i, i, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{C}^{16}$ . Define a vector-valued function  $(F_0, \dots, F_6)$  with  $F_j$  given by

$$\sum_{x, y \in \Lambda} (x, a)^{6-j} (y, a)^j e^{\pi i((x, x)\tau_{11} + 2(x, y)\tau_{12} + (y, y)\tau_{22})}$$

Ibukiyama proved that this is a non-zero cusp form of weight  $(6, 8)$ , that is for  $\rho = \text{Sym}^6(St) \otimes \det(St)^8$ .

We know  $\dim S_{6,8}(\Gamma_2) = 1$ , hence this form is a multiple of  $\chi_{6,8}$ .

# Restricting Modular Forms

Witt restricted modular forms of degree 2 to the ‘diagonal’  $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ . We saw that the first Taylor term of  $f \in M_k(\Gamma_2)$  in

$$f = f_0(\tau_1, \tau_2)z^n + \dots$$

defines an element of  $M_{k+n}(\Gamma_1) \otimes M_{k+n}(\Gamma_1)$ . We generalize this.

$L = (\mathbf{Z}^{2g}, \langle , \rangle)$  our standard symplectic lattice. If we write

$$L = L_1 \oplus L_2$$

an orthogonal product of two standard symplectic lattices of rank  $2g_1$  and  $2g_2$  with

$2g = 2g_1 + 2g_2$  then this gives an embedding

$$\mathrm{Sp}(2g_1, \mathbf{Z}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(2g_2, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbf{Z})$$

and noting that  $\mathcal{H}_j = \mathrm{Sp}(2j, \mathbf{R})/U(j)$  it defines an embedding  $\mathcal{H}_{g_1} \times \mathcal{H}_{g_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_g$ . This induces a map

$$\Gamma_{g_1} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{g_1} \times \Gamma_{g_2} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{g_2} \rightarrow \Gamma_g \backslash \mathcal{H}_g,$$

that is generically of finite degree.

Take  $f \in M_k(\Gamma_g)$  and pull it back to  $\mathcal{H}_{g_1} \times \mathcal{H}_{g_2}$ . We get a form

$$f_1 \otimes f_2 \in M_k(\Gamma_{g_1}) \otimes M_k(\Gamma_{g_2})$$

It may happen that  $f$  vanishes on the image

of  $\mathcal{H}_{g_1} \times \mathcal{H}_{g_2}$ . We write

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & z \\ z' & \tau_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and we consider the Taylor series of  $f$  in the coordinates of  $z \in \text{Mat}(g_1 \times g_2, \mathbf{C})$ . The action of  $\Gamma_{g_1} \times \Gamma_{g_2}$  is via

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & z \\ z' & \tau_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1(\tau_1) & \tilde{z} \\ \tilde{z}' & \gamma_2(\tau_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\tilde{z} = (c_1\tau_1 + d_1)^{-1} z (c_2\tau_2 + d_2)$$

Or in more invariant terms writing  $X_{\tau_i} = X_i$  for the abelian varieties we have for the deformation space of  $X_\tau$

$$\text{Def}(X_\tau) \cong \text{Sym}^2(T_{X_\tau}) = \text{Sym}^2(T_{X_1} \oplus T_{X_2})$$

and this can be written

$$= \text{Sym}^2(T_{X_1}) \oplus (T_{X_1} \otimes T_{X_2}) \oplus \text{Sym}^2(T_{X_2})$$

hence the normal space is

$$T_{X_{\tau_1}} \otimes T_{X_{\tau_2}}$$

with coordinates  $z_{ij} = \zeta_i \otimes \eta_j$ , hence the conormal bundle is

$$p_1^*(\mathbf{E}_{g_1}) \otimes p_2^*(\mathbf{E}_{g_2})$$

with  $p_i : \Gamma_{g_1} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{g_1} \times \Gamma_{g_2} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{g_2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{g_i} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{g_i}$  the projection.

So we write our  $f \in M_k(\Gamma_g)$  as

$$f = t_0(f) + t_1(f) + \dots$$



where  $t_d(f)$  is the sum of the terms of total degree  $d$  in the coordinates  $z_{ij}$  of  $z$ .

If  $t_0(f) = 0$  then  $t_1(f)$  is a section of

$$N^\vee \otimes \det(\mathbf{E})^k$$

with

$$N^\vee = p_1^*(\mathbf{E}_{g_1}) \otimes p_2^*(\mathbf{E}_{g_2})$$

the conormal bundle. So if  $t_0(f) = 0$  then  $t_1(f)$  is a section of

$$\det(\mathbf{E}_{g_1})^k \otimes \det(\mathbf{E}_{g_2})^k \otimes N^\vee$$

hence a vector-valued modular form

$$t_1(f) = f_1 \otimes f_2$$

with  $f_i$  a section of

$$\det(\mathbf{E}_{g_1})^k \otimes \mathbf{E}_{g_1}$$

If  $t_0(f) = \cdots = t_l(f) = 0$  then  $t_{l+1}(f)$  gives a section of

$$\det(\mathbf{E}_{g_1})^k \otimes \det(\mathbf{E}_{g_2})^k \otimes \text{Sym}^{l+1}(N^\vee)$$

These are vector-valued modular forms.

## Examples

Consider the ‘diagonal’ embedding

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_{g-1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_g.$$

If  $f \in M_k(\Gamma_g)$  vanishes along  $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_{g-1}$  with order  $r - 1$  then

$$t_r(f) \in M_{k+r}(\Gamma_1) \otimes M_\rho(\Gamma_{g-1})$$

with

$$\rho = \text{Sym}^r(St) \otimes \det(St)^k$$

because  $\text{Sym}^r(N^\vee) \otimes \det(\mathbf{E})^k$  is equal to

$$\mathbf{E}_1^{r+k} \otimes \text{Sym}^r(\mathbf{E}_{g-1}) \otimes \det(\mathbf{E}_{g-1})^k.$$

In other words,  $t_r(f)$  is of degree  $r$  in the coordinates and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_{g-1}$  act on the row

vector  $z \in \mathbf{C}^g$  by

$$z \mapsto (c_1\tau_1 + d)^{-1} z (c_2\tau_2 + d_2)^{-1}$$

Here  $\tau_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ ,  $\tau_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{g-1}$ .

## Restricting $\phi_8 \in S_8(\Gamma_4)$

The Schottky form  $f = \phi_8$  vanishes on the image of  $\mathcal{H}_2 \times \mathcal{H}_2$  and of  $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_3$  because  $\dim S_8(\Gamma_i) = 0$  for  $g < 4$ . We give a table for  $\dim S_k(\Gamma_g)$ .

$g \backslash k$	$< 8$	8	9	10	11	12
1	0	0	0	0	0	1
2	0	0	0	1	0	1
3	0	0	0	0	0	1
4	0	1	0	1	0	2
5	0	0	0	0	0	2
6	0	0	0	1	0	3
7	0	0	0	0	0	3
8	0	0	0	$\geq 1$	0	$\geq 4$

Later we shall treat results on the dimension

of spaces of Siegel modular cusp forms (results of Taïbi and Chenevier).

Consider restriction of  $\phi_8$  to  $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_3$ . Then  $t_0(f) = 0$ . Furthermore

$$t_i(f) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \text{ odd}$$

Also  $t_2(f) = 0$  because  $t_2(f) = f' \otimes f''$  with  $f' \in S_{10}(\Gamma_1) = (0)$ . Then

$$t_4(f) = f' \otimes f'' \in S_{12}(\Gamma_1) \otimes S_{4,0,8}(\Gamma_3)$$

with  $M_{4,0,8}(\Gamma_3)$  the space of sections of

$$\text{Sym}^4(\mathbf{E}_3) \otimes \det(\mathbf{E}_3)^8$$

In other words  $\rho = \text{Sym}^4(St) \otimes \det(St)^8$ .

One checks:

$$t_4(\phi_8) = \Delta \otimes \chi_{4,0,8}$$

is a non-zero cusp form. In some sense both  $\Delta \in S_{12}(\Gamma_1)$  and  $\chi_{4,0,8} \in S_{4,0,8}(\Gamma_3)$  are the 'first' cusp form for  $\Gamma_1$  and the 'first' vector-valued cusp form for  $\Gamma_3$  that one finds.

Restricting  $\phi_8$  to  $\mathcal{H}_2 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ .

We write  $M_{j,k}(\Gamma_2) = M_\rho(\Gamma_2)$  for

$$g = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = \text{Sym}^j(St) \otimes \det(St)^k$$

Now restrict  $\phi_8$  to  $\mathcal{H}_2 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ ;

We have  $t_0(f) = 0$ ,  $t_i(f) = 0$  for  $i$  odd.

$$t_2(f) = f' \otimes f''$$

with

$$f' = f'' \in S_{2,8}(\Gamma_2) = (0)$$

For  $t_4$  we have to decompose for two vector spaces  $V'$ ,  $V''$  of dimension 2

$$\text{Sym}^4(V' \otimes V'')$$

we get three terms

$$\text{Sym}^4(V') \otimes \text{Sym}^4(V''),$$

and

$$\text{Sym}^2(V') \otimes \wedge^2(V') \otimes \text{Sym}^2(V'') \otimes \wedge^2(V'')$$

and

$$\wedge^2(V') \otimes \wedge^2(V') \otimes \wedge^2(V') \otimes \wedge^2(V')$$



But  $t_4(f)$  is symmetric under interchanging the factors  $\mathcal{H}_2 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ . So  $t_4(f)$  lands in

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Sym}^2(M_{4,k}(\Gamma_2)) \oplus \text{Sym}^2(M_{2,k+1}(\Gamma_2)) \\ & \oplus \text{Sym}^2(M_{0,k+2}(\Gamma_2)) \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 8$ . Indeed,  $t_4(f)$  gives only the term

$$\text{Sym}^2(\chi_{10}) \in \text{Sym}^2(S_{10}(\Gamma_2))$$

Similarly, projection of  $t_6$  gives

$$\chi_{6,8} \otimes \chi_{6,8} \in \text{Sym}^2(S_{6,8}(\Gamma_2))$$

(It does not interfere with 4th order terms..)

Again  $\chi_{10}$  and  $\chi_{6,8}$  are the first scalar-valued and the first vector-valued cusp forms for  $g = 2$ .

## The Rankin-Cohen Bracket

Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function on  $\mathcal{H}_g$ . We define a  $g \times g$  matrix by

$$\partial f(\tau) = \left( \frac{1 + \delta_{ij}}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{ij}} \right)$$

We have the formulas

$$\partial(f \circ \gamma) = (c\tau + d)^{\prime-1} \{(\partial f) \circ \gamma\} (c\tau + d)^{-1}$$

and

$$\partial(\det(c\tau + d)) = \det(c\tau + d) \cdot (c\tau + d)^{-1} c$$

One thus shows for  $f \in M_k(\Gamma_g)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial f)(\gamma(\tau)) &= \det(c\tau + d)^k (c\tau + d) \\ &\{ (c\tau + d)^{-1} k c f(\tau) + \partial f(\tau) \} (c\tau + d)' \end{aligned}$$

We put for  $F \in M_k(\Gamma_g)$  and  $G \in M_l(\Gamma_g)$

$$[F, G](\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (k F \partial G - l G \partial F)(\tau)$$

Let now  $\rho = \text{Sym}^2(St)$ .

**Proposition 1.** *For  $F \in M_k(\Gamma_g)$  and  $G \in M_l(\Gamma_g)$  we have*

$$[F, G] \in M_{\rho \otimes \det^{k+l}}(\Gamma_g)$$

*Moreover the bracket satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} [F, G] &= -[G, F] \\ F[G, H] + G[H, F] + H[F, G] &= 0 \\ [FG, G] &= G[F, G] \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Consider

$$\nabla_k f = \frac{k}{2\pi i} (\tau - \bar{\tau})^{-1} f + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \partial f$$

Then

$$[F, G] = kF \nabla_l G - lG \nabla_k F$$

We know

$$\gamma(\tau) - \overline{\gamma(\tau)} = (c\tau + d)^{\prime-1} (\tau - \bar{\tau}) (c\bar{\tau} + d)^{-1}$$

One checks that  $\nabla_k f$  for  $f \in M_k(\Gamma_g)$  is a  $C^\infty$ -function that behaves like a modular form of weight  $\rho \otimes \det^{k+l}$ .

This implies that  $[F, G]$  satisfies the required transformation formula. But it is holomorphic. Q.e.d.

## A Variation for $g = 2$

If  $V$  is a 2-dimensional vector space then

$$\wedge^2 \text{Sym}^2(V) \cong \text{Sym}^2(V) \otimes \det(V)$$

as  $\text{GL}(V)$ -representations, hence

$$\wedge^2 \text{Sym}^2(\mathbf{E}_2) \cong \text{Sym}^2(\mathbf{E}_2) \otimes \det(\mathbf{E}_2)$$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $f_i \in M_{k_i}(\Gamma_2)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 3$ . Then with  $\rho = \text{Sym}^2(\text{St})$  the expression  $[f_1, f_2, f_3]$  equal to*

$$k_1 f_1 \partial f_2 \wedge \partial f_3 + k_2 f_2 \partial f_3 \wedge \partial f_1 + k_3 f_3 \partial f_1 \wedge \partial f_2$$

*is a form in  $M_{\rho \otimes \det^{k_1+k_2+k_3+1}}(\Gamma_2)$ .*

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $f_i \in M_{k_i}(\Gamma_2)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ . Then*

$$[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4] = \sum_{i=1}^4 (-1)^i k_i f_i (\wedge_{j \neq i} \partial f_j)$$

*is in  $M_{k_1+k_2+k_3+k_4+3}(\Gamma_2)$ .*

Example: take generators  $\psi_4, \psi_6, \chi_{10}, \chi_{12}$  of  $R^{\text{ev}} = \bigoplus_k M_{2k}(\Gamma_2)$ . This gives a form  $\chi_{35}$  of weight 35. We know

$$R = \bigoplus_k M_k(\Gamma_2) = R^{\text{ev}}[\chi_{35}] / (\chi_{35}^2 - P)$$

with  $P$  a polynomial in  $\psi_4, \psi_6, \chi_{10}, \chi_{12}$ .

## Forms of Weight $(2, k)$

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_k M_{2,k}(\Gamma_2)$ . This is a module over  $R_2$ . We have a variant  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{ev}} = \bigoplus_{k \text{ even}} M_{2,k}(\Gamma_2)$ , a module over  $R_2^{\text{ev}}$ .

We can construct elements here

$$\begin{array}{ll} \alpha_{10} & = [\psi_4, \psi_6] & \beta_{14} & = [\psi_4, \chi_{10}] \\ \gamma_{16} & = [\psi_4, \chi_{12}] & \delta_{16} & = [\psi_6, \chi_{10}] \\ \epsilon_{18} & = [\psi_6, \chi_{12}] & \zeta_{22} & = [\chi_{10}, \chi_{12}] \end{array}$$

The relation for Cohen-Rankin brackets

$$a[b, c] + b[c, a] + c[a, b] = 0$$

applied to triples of  $\psi_4, \psi_6, \chi_{10}, \chi_{12}$  gives rise

to relations

$$r_{12} : \psi_4 \delta_{16} - \psi_6 \beta_{14} + \chi_{10} \alpha_{10} = 0,$$

$$r_{10} : \psi_4 \epsilon_{18} - \psi_6 \gamma_{16} + \chi_{12} \alpha_{10} = 0,$$

$$r_6 : \psi_4 \zeta_{22} - \chi_{10} \gamma_{16} + \chi_{12} \beta_{14} = 0,$$

$$r_4 : \psi_6 \zeta_{22} - \chi_{10} \epsilon_{18} + \chi_{12} \delta_{16} = 0.$$

of weights 20, 22, 26 and 28. We then have the syzygy:

$$s_{32} : \chi_{12} r_{12} - \chi_{10} r_{10} + \psi_6 r_6 - \psi_4 r_4 = 0$$

We claim that this describes the module  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{ev}}$ . The structure of  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{ev}}$  was determined by Satoh in 1986.

**Theorem 2.** *The module  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{ev}}$  is generated over  $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ev}}$  by the six forms*

$$\alpha_{10}, \beta_{14}, \gamma_{16}, \delta_{16}, \epsilon_{18}, \zeta_{22}$$



with the relations  $r_4, r_6, r_{10}, r_{12}$  and the syzygy  $s_{32}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose we have a relation

$$f_4\partial\psi_4 + f_6\partial\psi_6 + f_{10}\partial\psi_{10} + f_{12}\partial\psi_{12} = 0$$

with  $f_i \in M_{k-j}(\Gamma_2)$ . This implies that

$$f_4\psi_4 + f_6\psi_6 + f_{10}\chi_{10} + f_{12}\chi_{12} = 0$$

and hence that the form

$$[f_4\psi_4, f_6\psi_6, f_{10}\chi_{10}, f_{12}\chi_{12}]$$

vanishes. Since  $[\psi_4, \psi_6, \chi_{10}, \chi_{12}]$ , a multiple of  $\chi_{35}$ , does not vanish it follows that  $f_4, f_6, f_{10}$  and  $f_{12}$  vanish. Using the relations we find that the subspace of  $M_{2,k}(\Gamma_2)$  generated

by our six generators consists of the forms

$$a_{4,6}\alpha_{10} + a_{4,10}\beta_{14} + a_{4,12}\gamma_{16} \\ + a_{6,10}\delta_{16} + a_{6,12}\epsilon_{18} + a_{10,12}\zeta_{22}$$

with  $a_{4,l} \in M_{k-4-l}(\Gamma_2)$ ,  $a_{6,l} \in M_{k-6-l} \cap \mathbf{C}[\psi_6, \chi_{10}, \chi_{12}]$  and  $a_{10,12} \in M_{k-22} \cap \mathbf{C}[\chi_{10}, \chi_{12}]$ . If we suppose a non-trivial linear combination as above vanishes then we find a relation

$$b_4\partial\psi_4 + b_6\partial\psi_6 + b_{10}\partial\chi_{10} + b_{12}\partial\chi_{12} = 0$$

and the coefficients  $b_i$  must vanish. But we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4b_4 &= -a_{4,6}\psi_6 - a_{4,10}\chi_{10} - a_{4,12}\chi_{12} \\ 6b_6 &= a_{4,6}\psi_4 - a_{6,10}\chi_{10} - a_{6,12}\chi_{12} \\ 10b_{10} &= a_{4,10}\psi_4 + a_{6,10}\psi_6 - a_{10,12}\chi_{12} \\ 12b_{12} &= a_{4,12}\psi_4 + a_{6,12}\psi_6 + a_{10,12}\chi_{10} \end{aligned}$$

Using the algebraic independence of  $\psi_4$ ,  $\psi_6$ ,  $\psi_{10}$  and  $\psi_{12}$  one deduces that the coefficients  $a_{i,j}$  vanish. Thus we can calculate the dimension of the subspace of  $M_{2,k}$  that these forms generate. Indeed, the generating function of the submodule generated by  $\alpha_{10}, \dots, \zeta_{22}$  is

$$\frac{t^{10} + t^{14} + 2t^{16} + t^{18} - t^{20} - t^{26} - t^{28} + t^{32}}{(1 - t^4)(1 - t^6)(1 - t^{10})(1 - t^{12})}$$

Now one uses that one knows the dimensions of  $M_{2,k}(\Gamma_2)$  or uses the fact that

$$\frac{3}{4 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 \cdot 12} = 3 \deg(\lambda_1^3)$$

to see that this submodule exhausts  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{ev}} = \bigoplus_{k \text{ even}} M_{2,k}(\Gamma_2)$ .

For the  $\mathcal{R}_2^{\text{ev}}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{odd}} = \bigoplus_k M_{2,2k+1}(\Gamma_2)$  we find generators

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{21} &= [\psi_4, \psi_6, \chi_{10}], & \beta_{23} &= [\psi_4, \psi_6, \chi_{12}], \\ \gamma_{27} &= [\psi_4, \chi_{10}, \chi_{12}], & \delta_{29} &= [\psi_6, \chi_{10}, \chi_{12}]\end{aligned}$$

that satisfy the relation  $r_{33}$  of weight 33

$$12\chi_{12}\alpha_{21} - 10\chi_{10}\beta_{23} + 6\psi_6\gamma_{27} - 4\psi_4\delta_{29} = 0.$$

**Theorem 3.** *The  $\mathcal{R}_2^{\text{ev}}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{odd}}$  is generated by the forms  $\alpha_{21}, \beta_{23}, \gamma_{27}, \delta_{29}$  with one relation  $r_{33}$ .*

Similar results were obtained for

$$\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{ev}} = \bigoplus_{k \text{ even}} M_{d,k}(\Gamma_2)$$

and similarly  $\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{odd}}$  for  $d = 4, 6, 8, 10$  by Ibukiyama, Kiyuna, Takemori and van Dorp.

Later we will see a more systematic method to get such results using invariant theory.

## The Ring $\bigoplus_{j,k} M_{j,k}(\Gamma_2)$

In degree 2 one can provide the  $\mathcal{R}_2$  module

$$\mathbf{M} = \bigoplus_{j,k} M_{j,k}(\Gamma_2)$$

with the structure of a ring. The multiplication is defined by using the projection of  $GL(2)$  representations

$$\mathrm{Sym}^m(St) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^n(St) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{m+n}(St)$$

with  $St$  the standard representation, by interpreting  $\mathrm{Sym}^j(St)$  as the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $j$  in two variables, say  $x_1, x_2$  and performing multiplication of polynomials.

Applying this to symmetric powers of the Hodge bundle which is obtained as a quotient of  $\mathfrak{H}_2 \times \mathbf{C}^2$  where  $GL(2)$  acts by the standard representation on  $\mathbf{C}^2$  gives the required map

$$M_{j_1, k_1}(\Gamma_2) \times M_{j_2, k_2}(\Gamma_2) \rightarrow M_{j_1+j_2, k_1+k_2}(\Gamma_2).$$

This ring is not finitely generated as shown by C. Grundh.

**Proposition 4.** *The ring  $\mathbf{M}$  is not finitely generated.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  are generators of  $\mathbf{M}$  of weights  $(j_i, k_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Recall that the corank of  $f \in M_{j, k}(\Gamma_2)$  is  $\leq 1$  if  $j + k > k$ , that is, if  $j > 0$ . Therefore  $\Phi(f) \in S_{j+k}(\Gamma_2)$  for  $j > 0$ . If  $F \in M_{j, k}$  with  $j > \max\{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$  then we can write  $F$  as

a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combination of products

$$\prod_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$$

of the generators and in each product at least two factors have  $j_{\alpha} > 0$ . Therefore the image of such a product under  $\Phi$  lies in the ideal  $(\Delta^2)$  of  $\mathcal{R}_1$ . But we know that for large enough weight the Siegel operator is surjective. So if we choose an  $F$  of weight  $(j, k)$  large enough and such that  $\Phi(F)$  is divisible by  $\Delta$ , but not by  $\Delta^2$  we see that it cannot be expressed by our  $f_1, \dots, f_r$ . Q.e.d.



## More literature

S. Böcherer: Siegel modular forms and theta series. Theta functions – Bowdoin 1987, Part 2 (Brunswick, ME, 1987), 317, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 49, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

T. Satoh: On certain vector-valued Siegel modular forms. Math. Ann. 274 (1986), 335–352.

F. Cléry. G. van der Geer: Constructing vector-valued Siegel modular forms from scalar-valued Siegel modular forms. Pure and Applied Math Quaterly 11 (2015), 21–47.